

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,452		06/14/2002	Kimberly L.P. Hei	163.0104USWO	3886	
23552	7590	08/06/2003				
MERCHAI P.O. BOX 2		OULD PC		EXAMINER		
		N 55402-0903		MCAVOY, ELLEN M		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1764		
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Addison C	10/049,452	HEI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ellen M McAvoy	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	is
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>02 June 2003</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims	llowance except for formal mander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the mon.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4) Claim(s) 29-74 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-74</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement		
Application Papers	na o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _			
If approved, corrected drawings are required i			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	•
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stag	е
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			lication)
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has b	een received.	ication). ,
Attachment(s)	p and or o.o.o.	. ეე ima mira/VI IA-I,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No() 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	a Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9	

Application/Control Number: 10/049,452

Art Unit: 1764

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 29-74 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all the claims are sufficiently related so as to not impose an undue burden on the Examiner to search the related groups. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are distinct and would require different areas of search. For example, a phase separated mixture of a hydrophilic lubricating material and an oleophilic material is completely different than a liquid hydrocarbon oil.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29-74 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-68 of U.S. Patent No. 6,576,298 B2 ["Bennett et al"]. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method comprising (open-ended) lubricating the interface

Application/Control Number: 10/049,452

Art Unit: 1764

between a conveyor and a shaped article with a liquid hydrocarbon oil or emulsion stable to phase separation which may comprise an additive may be the same.

Claims 29-61 are also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,427,826 B1 ["Li et al"]. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the methods of lubricating the interface between a container and a moving conveyor surface may be the same.

Claims 62-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 39, 45-47, 51, 56-58, 60-61, 68-70 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,427,826 B1 (Li et al). This is a double patenting rejection. These claims are identical.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M McAvoy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2510. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (703) 308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ellen M McAvoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

EMcAvoy August 4, 2003